

EDITORIAL CORRESPONDENCE.

SAVANNAH, GA., April 2, 1867.

Dear Journal:—A flying trip to this sun-ny land, after a winter of long and close confinement, is not a most disagreeable incident in the monotonous life of an editor, nor is a forced delay of twelve or fourteen hours in this beautiful city, under the auspices of "mine host" of the Screven, an unpleasant episode in a journey to Florida.

During a hasty trip from Wilmington hence, stopping only at Augusta for a few hours, the opportunities of observation or information were necessarily very limited. There was much local travel through South Carolina which seemed to be well-to-do farmers, from whom I learned that the people of that noble little State were prepared to submit to the legislation of Congress and obey what was, by the force of might, the supreme law of the land. As with us, there was little or no excitement, but little interest shown, except by a class of broken down politicians and demagogues, who hoped in the present revolutionary state of affairs to obtain position and salaries, trusting an intoxicated public sentiment will reverse the former calm and sober decision of the people. Matters relating to farming, especially the question of fertilizers, had more charms than uncertain and unsatisfactory surmises in relation to the political future. Much more interest was manifested in regard to the establishment of the Gasco Factory at Wilmington, than what might be the next order from Gen. Sickles, or for whom the new enfranchised citizens would cast their votes.

Even from a cursory observation and from hasty railroad and depot conversations, the traveler is forcibly impressed with the very great and earnest preparations for the coming crop. South Carolina and Georgia are busy with the hum of preparation; fences are all in order, ground is being broken up and fertilizers accumulated. In fact, the whole line of the railroads presents cheering signs of industry and life. The immense amounts of fertilizers which encumber the cars and fill the depots at every station, is especially a matter of surprise, and shows that the people have waked up to the great advantages of a liberal system of planting.

There seems as yet but little complaint in regard to labor, and this rather extends to the quantity than quality. Fears are, however, freely expressed in regard to the effect of the recent legislation upon the Southern laborer. His conduct as a slave before and during the war, and with rare exceptions, since his emancipation, has been so unexceptionable, that I truly hope the negro character will prove too strong to be demoralized by this new danger. The contagion has already spread in and about our large cities and towns, but even there it has been confined either to the idle and vicious, or those whose ambition has been falsely flattered by designing politicians.

In humble imitation of a similar set of white men in Raleigh and Charleston, there was collected a motley crowd of whites and blacks in this city yesterday, the whites, of course, manifesting a new-born zeal in their black fellow-citizens. Such paltry demagoguism will soon wear out, and leave these place-hunters stranded among the breakers which their own unwholly and unwise aspirations will have lashed into fury. At the close some over-excited partizan held up a third-rate barber-shop engraving of Thaddeus Stevens, and the ignorant crowd cheered most lustily. Had it been the picture of Judas Iscariot, instead of his political prototype, the cheers would have been as loud.

The fate of the colored people is not only a question for our sympathies, but of the utmost practical importance to the Southern people. They are in the midst of the desert, with no pillar of cloud by day and pillar of fire by night to guide them, and with temptations and dangers besetting their every footstep. The religious and political leaders have upon their shoulders a most fearful responsibility. The future of this Southern country most intimately depends upon how the moral and physical education of the blacks are attended to. They may become a blessing and a strength to the country, or may lapse into a condition worse than barbarism and used only for our injury.

The lines of railroad in North and South Carolina are in good order and the accommodations fine. I cannot say as much, however, for those in this State. There is to each train one car, which, if it has nothing else to recommend it, is clean. This is the "Ladies' Car," to which no gentleman, unaccompanied with ladies, except the friends of the Conductors, are allowed. This is all very proper, if the other, the "Gentlemen's Car," is obviously a misnomer, was what your ticket called for—"first-class." Amidst the perfumes of tobacco smoke and the floor covered with the decomposing remnants of the Southern "quid," the Northern cod fish, and the German's schmitzer case, the traveler is left to the consolation that in these days his car is not the only right one recognized. Until, however, one is shown somewhat more courtesy and granted somewhat more comfort on the Georgia Central, we would advise our people to seek this section through Charleston and thence by steamers.

The omnibus monopolies in this city and Augusta are nuisances, and the citizens should demand their abatement. All the omnibuses belong to one company, and seventy-five cents is charged as the fare to the hotels, and as much to the depots. So one cannot get to the hotels and back to the depots without an outlay of one dollar and a half.

In nothing is there so marked an improvement in the South as the hotels. The discomfort of traveling as one finds at the Planter's, in Augusta, and the Screven, in this city, there is an air of comfort in

their furniture and well-served abundance on tables, while the gentlemanly and imposing politeness from all, makes the traveler feel some of the charms of home. The treatment a person receives at either as such as to insure a return with the renewal of a visit to these cities.

The foliage here has put forth in all freshness and splendor, and the magnificent oaks and maple which line the pavements and in many parts the centre of the streets, already furnish their grateful shade to the strollers, and hundreds of children playing in the beautiful grass-covered squares, tell as plainly and as eloquently that spring has come again, as do the feathery songsters which chirp above them.

Savannah, like all the Southern cities, seems to be suffering from the scarcity of capital and the want of confidence in political questions. Observations, during an extended stroll on the wharf, gave evidence of a large importation of corn and fertilizers, with here and there small lots of hay. There was not the appearance of a large exportation of cotton. The city does not seem outwardly to have suffered much by the war, but God alone can look into the heart and see the suffering and mourning caused there. Beginning at Manassas with Ben and Barrow, this devoted city sacrificed many of her noblest sons upon the altar of the country.

A Mistake.

We do not think there is any ground for the apprehensions which some of the Republican papers seem to have in regard to the effect which the Connecticut election will have on the business of reconstruction in the South. They say they fear that this election will mislead the South into the belief that a greater reaction has taken place in northern sentiment than is really the case, and might induce a "change of purpose" on our part. This patriotic anxiety, we repeat, is not well-founded. The purpose of the Southern people to make the best of a bad bargain will not be affected by the Connecticut election. They will go along as they are going—they will proceed under the military bill to reconstruct, unless the injunction prayed for by Mississippi and Alabama is granted; and, in that case, it would not do for them to disregard the law as expounded by the Supreme Court of the United States. If that injunction is granted, and the military machinery bill is declared null and void, the probability is that they would proceed under their present organizations until Congress forced them to a different course, against the decision of the Supreme Court, in which event they would have to yield to force, as heretofore. The course to be taken by the Southern States in any event is not half so uncertain as the effect which would be produced upon the country at large. It would be for others to determine how much of that sort of legislation the country could stand.

England, France and the Confederate States.

It was a maxim of Hobbes (we believe) that "a state of nature is a state of war"—that by a law of their existence, when in a primitive state, men would prey upon each other. Civilization only altered the mode of manifesting this natural instinct. It introduced trickery, cunning, chicanery, hypocrisy, or, as it is politely designated, diplomacy, as a substitute in most cases for violence; but civilized people still do not hesitate, when diplomacy fails, to resort to violence if it will accomplish their ends. In a state of nature, weak men do not make war on the strong, nor do they in the civilized state. To use the modern phrase, they restrain the natural instinct if it don't "pay."

We are prompted to this train of thought by the announcement which we see in an exchange, that lately in the French Chambers the Duke de Persigny reproached England with having tried to break up the American Union during the war; whereupon the London Times asserts that England declined the invitation of the French Emperor to join him in recognizing the Southern Confederacy. The New York Times is enjoying this mutual recrimination, and thinks the truth as to the conduct of foreign nations during the war will come out after a while. We think it a capital subject for the satire of the American press. Those two nations occupy the position of two boys who, seeing another whipped by a bully, meanly try to carry favor with the bully by charging each other with having taken sides against him. Now, that the United States has succeeded in the war and developed a strength which terrifies Europe, the efforts which England and France both clandestinely made to aid the Confederates, are denounced by both as crimes, while each meanly denies its guilt, and accuses the other. Of course both bitterly regret that they did not unite in favor of the South, but their regrets have not the virtue of repentance in one respect at least, for they come too late.

The French Emperor had the sagacity to foresee what would be the result of the success of the United States, and knew that the disruption of the government would strengthen the monarchies of Europe and be particularly beneficial to his own interests, and therefore he made the proposition directly to England early in the war to unite with him in recognizing Southern independence.

The English Cabinet, equally anxious to see disunion successful, and clandestinely endeavoring in every way to promote it, was, nevertheless, afraid of the partnership, and of the United States besides. So neither interfered, and now they amuse the "Yankees" with their untimely laudation of the greatness of the United States, and their mutual belittlement of each other. The "so-called" can heartily help the "Yankees" to enjoy the fun in this matter, and fully share with them the contempt which all Americans feel for the result of European diplomacy in regard to our late civil war.

Hit it at Last.

The remedy for all the evils which beset the country has been found out at last by the New York Times. The great trouble with the statesmen of the present day is to invent republican forms of government for the States and the United States, Mr.

Sumner's last plan is to force negro suffrage on all the States, North, South, East and West, by act of Congress. A Boston paper thinks the proper way is to abolish the Presidential office, and James Redpath advocates the abolition of President, the Supreme Court and Senate, as "the three remaining oligarchies," leaving only the House of Representatives to represent the Government. But we think the plan of the Times decidedly the best yet proposed, and go in for it strongly. Hear it: "We venture to suggest that Congress be abolished, except Mr. Sumner and General Butler; then we shall have a republican form of government, and can guarantee it to every State, and if necessary force it upon them—as required by the Constitution!" Now that is something practical and sensible, and in strict accordance with the demands of an enlightened progress.

The New York Papers on the Victory. The Herald declares that the Republicans "junk under the weight of woolly horses, feckless mormons, old Joyce Heth, learned seals, fat women, living skeletons, dwarfs, air-legged snobs, fat-headed calves and other monstrosities," and that the moral of the election is that nomination unfit to be made will insure defeat.

The Times contents itself with a brief announcement of a "decisive Democratic victory." The Tribune characterizes it as a "Copperhead victory," which might have done mischief during the war, but now does none to the State, which "has put good men out of office and bad men in," and thinks General Hawley "can afford it better than the State can." It apprehends, very correctly, that the result will be a new election, that it may retard reconstruction, since "demagogues may assure the people of the South that this is the beginning of a great reaction at the North destined to overturn Congress and substitute the policy of the President for the policy of the people." When did the people ever have the policy of forcing negro suffrage upon the South? It charges that "money has been used very freely, so freely that if Mr. English had been as poor a man as General Hawley he would have had no chance whatever of election."

It is possible for you to see why the Radicals in Boston and New York might have overborne him. It is well for those who have squandered money to raise the cry of corruption against their antagonists; but the contributions of the Radicals in money and in specie for the election, which the World claims 800 Democratic majority, and avers that this indicates "a turn in the tide, and the beginning of a great reaction which is to sweep the Republican party out of power." It says the significance of the result could not be explained away for the Radicals did their best. It further claims this as a Democratic triumph, utterly disproving the allegation that that party is under any such odium as will prevent its restoration to power, and that other proofs of the destined reaction will be forthcoming in the New York election of Governor. It says the Democratic convention of the constitutional convention. It winds up by declaring that the Republican party has accomplished its mission, and prophesies the downfall of a "party which has done more mischief, shed more blood, squandered more treasure, kindled more passions, and inflicted deeper wounds on constitutional government than any other political party that ever existed in the world." Of the policy of claiming this as an exclusively Democratic triumph we may have more to say hereafter. The result which we so confidently predict can only be defeated by the wisdom of those who should cooperate for its fulfillment.

National Intelligence.

Signs of the Times.

The New York Journal of Commerce has a precautionary article on the signs of the times with reference to the financial and business future. The length, it says, which is given to the question of the possible trouble is now heard less frequently in the avenues of trade. The financial novices who ridiculed the lessons of experience, and taught that the heavier the national debt the greater blessing, are less glib in their gibes to the voters of the exchange, and advocate their crude theories with less assurance than when the inflation was in progress on the other side of the summit.

The manufacturing districts have felt a sudden jar in their machinery, and are surprised that there should be any check to their progress while under the protection of the most favoring legislation. The smaller industries all over the land have already begun to suffer. Enterprise has lost its eagerness, and adventure folds its wings. There is unusual restlessness everywhere. The commercial world is being shaken by a spasm, and seems to have but little money. What has become of the enormous receipts which filled everybody's purse who had anything to sell, until the high prices seemed a blessing instead of a curse? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in spite of high wages, there is less in the workman's purse than when he was paid less? Is the money spent or hoarded? It does not circulate as it did, and the rolls of greenbacks are not as numerous or as pliable as they were last year. Has anybody found out that high prices bring an offset in great expenses, and that, in